



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

SCIENCE

FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 1, 1916

CONTENTS

<i>The Evolution of Herbs</i> : DR. EDMUND W. SINNOTT	291
<i>Contributions of Chemistry to the Science and Art of Medicine</i> : DR. L. JUNIUS DESHA	298
<i>The School of Hygiene and Public Health at the Johns Hopkins University</i>	302
<i>The National Exposition of Chemical Industries</i>	303
<i>Scientific Notes and News</i>	304
<i>University and Educational News</i>	309
<i>Discussion and Correspondence</i> :-	
<i>Amblystoma not Ambystoma</i> : DR. CHARLES P. G. SCOTT. <i>Ambystoma</i> : F. STURGES ALLEN. <i>The Lime Requirement of Soils</i> : F. P. VEITCH. <i>The Survival of Beat in the Removed Heart of the Snapping Turtle</i> : DR. PHILIP B. HADLEY	309
<i>Quotations</i> :-	
<i>Scientific Societies and the Government</i>	312
<i>Scientific Books</i> :-	
<i>Farrington on Meteorites</i> : DR. GEORGE P. MERRILL. <i>Weld's Theory of Errors and Least Squares</i> : PROFESSOR CHARLES C. GROVE	314
<i>Aristotle's Echeneis not a Sucking Fish</i> : DR. E. W. GUDGER	316
<i>Special Articles</i> :-	
<i>Antagonism and Weber's Law</i> : PROFESSOR W. J. V. OSTERHOUT. <i>Do Fungi live and produce Mycelium in the Soil?</i> SELMAN A. WAKSMAN	318
<i>The American Chemical Society</i> : DR. CHARLES L. PARSONS	322

MSS. Intended for publication and books, etc., intended for review should be sent to Professor J. McKeen Cattell, Garrison-on-Hudson, N. Y.

THE EVOLUTION OF HERBS

THE most ancient system of botanical classification which we know, first proposed by Aristotle and Theophrastus and even continued after the dawn of modern botany with the herbalists of the sixteenth century, divided all plants into three great and easily distinguishable groups, the trees, the shrubs and the herbs. As time went on, however, and as botanical knowledge grew more and more thorough, it became evident that any system of this sort, based simply on the habit of growth, not alone brought together many plants unrelated in almost every respect but separated others which clearly resembled one another in most of their characters. The old classification was therefore gradually abandoned and in its place grew up various systems in which an attempt was made to gather plants into more natural groups. Finally the theory of evolution, with its emphasis on actual genetic relationship as the basis of all sound classification, gave a great incentive to the building of hypothetical family trees and lines of descent in the vegetable kingdom. Almost all of these have been founded mainly on a comparative study of the various floral parts; and it is therefore with such structures that modern students of the morphology and taxonomy of plants have for the most part concerned themselves. The various types of growth habit, those most evident and striking of plant characters, so much emphasized by the earlier botanists, have consequently been largely negleant as being too variable and too dependant on a changing environment to be of much use in determining actual relationships.